

# Amortized Complexity

- ✓ Aggregate method.
- Accounting method.
- Potential function method.

# Potential Function

- $P(i) = \text{amortizedCost}(i) - \text{actualCost}(i) + P(i - 1)$
- $\Sigma(P(i) - P(i - 1)) =$   
 $\Sigma(\text{amortizedCost}(i) - \text{actualCost}(i))$
- $P(n) - P(0) = \Sigma(\text{amortizedCost}(i) - \text{actualCost}(i))$
- $P(n) - P(0) \geq 0$
- When  $P(0) = 0$ ,  $P(i)$  is the amount by which the first  $i$  operations have been over charged.

# Potential Function Example

$$a = x + ((a + b) * c + d) + y;$$

actual cost	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	5	1	1	1	1	7	1	1	7	
amortized cost	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	
potential	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	6	7	8	9	10	5	6	7	2

Potential = stack size except at end.

# Accounting Method

- Guess the amortized cost.
- Show that  $P(n) - P(0) \geq 0$ .

# Accounting Method Example

```
create an empty stack;
```

```
for (int i = 1; i <= n; i++)
```

```
    // n is number of symbols in statement
```

```
    processNextSymbol();
```

- Guess that amortized complexity of `processNextSymbol` is 2.
- Start with  $P(0) = 0$ .
- Can show that  $P(i) \geq$  number of elements on stack after  $i$ th symbol is processed.

# Accounting Method Example

$$a = x + ( ( a + b ) * c + d ) + y ;$$

actual cost 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 5 1 1 1 1 7 1 1 7

amortized cost 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2

potential 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 6 7 8 9 10 5 6 7 2

- Potential  $\geq$  number of symbols on stack.
- Therefore,  $P(i) \geq 0$  for all  $i$ .
- In particular,  $P(n) \geq 0$ .

# Potential Method

- Guess a suitable potential function for which  $P(n) - P(0) \geq 0$  for all  $n$ .
- Derive amortized cost of  $i$ th operation using
$$\Delta P = P(i) - P(i-1)$$
$$= \text{amortized cost} - \text{actual cost}$$
- $\text{amortized cost} = \text{actual cost} + \Delta P$

# Potential Method Example

```
create an empty stack;
```

```
for (int i = 1; i <= n; i++)
```

```
    // n is number of symbols in statement
```

```
    processNextSymbol();
```

- Guess that the potential function is  $P(i) =$  number of elements on stack after  $i^{\text{th}}$  symbol is processed (exception is  $P(n) = 2$ ).
- $P(0) = 0$  and  $P(i) - P(0) \geq 0$  for all  $i$ .



# $i^{\text{th}}$ Symbol Is Not ) or ;

- Actual cost of `processNextSymbol` is 1.
- Number of elements on stack increases by 1.
- $\Delta P = P(i) - P(i-1) = 1$ .
- amortized cost = actual cost +  $\Delta P$   
 $= 1 + 1 = 2$

## $i^{\text{th}}$ Symbol Is )

- Actual cost of `processNextSymbol` is  $\#unstacked + 1$ .
- Number of elements on stack decreases by  $\#unstacked - 1$ .
- $\Delta P = P(i) - P(i-1) = 1 - \#unstacked$ .
- amortized cost = actual cost +  $\Delta P$   
 $= \#unstacked + 1 +$   
 $(1 - \#unstacked)$   
 $= 2$

## $i^{\text{th}}$ Symbol Is ;

- Actual cost of `processNextSymbol` is  $\#unstacked = P(n-1)$ .
- Number of elements on stack decreases by  $P(n-1)$ .
- $\Delta P = P(n) - P(n-1) = 2 - P(n-1)$ .
- $\text{amortized cost} = \text{actual cost} + \Delta P$   
 $= P(n-1) + (2 - P(n-1))$   
 $= 2$

25349

# Binary Counter

003874

- $n$ -bit counter
- Cost of incrementing counter is number of bits that change.
- Cost of  $001011 \Rightarrow 001100$  is 3.
- Counter starts at 0.
- What is the cost of incrementing the counter  $m$  times?

# Worst-Case Method

- Worst-case cost of an increment is  $n$ .
- Cost of  $011111 \Rightarrow 100000$  is  $6$ .
- So, the cost of  $m$  increments is at most  $mn$ .

# Aggregate Method

0 0 0 0 0

counter

- Each increment changes bit **0** (i.e., the right most bit).
- Exactly  $\text{floor}(m/2)$  increments change bit **1** (i.e., second bit from right).
- Exactly  $\text{floor}(m/4)$  increments change bit **2**.


# Aggregate Method

0 0 0 0 0

counter

- Exactly  $\text{floor}(m/8)$  increments change bit 3.
- So, the cost of  $m$  increments is  $m$   
 $+ \text{floor}(m/2) + \text{floor}(m/4) + \dots < 2m$
- Amortized cost of an increment is  $2m/m = 2$ .

- Guess that the amortized cost of an increment is 2.
- Now show that  $P(m) - P(0) \geq 0$  for all  $m$ .
- 1<sup>st</sup> increment:
  - one unit of amortized cost is used to pay for the change in bit 0 from 0 to 1.
  - the other unit remains as a credit on bit 0 and is used later to pay for the time when bit 0 changes from 1 to 0.

bits	0 0 0 0 0		0 0 0 0 1
credits	0 0 0 0 0		0 0 0 0 1



25349

## 2<sup>nd</sup> Increment.

003874

bits	0 0 0 0 1	→	0 0 0 1 0
credits	0 0 0 0 1		0 0 0 1 0

- one unit of amortized cost is used to pay for the change in bit 1 from 0 to 1
- the other unit remains as a credit on bit 1 and is used later to pay for the time when bit 1 changes from 1 to 0
- the change in bit 0 from 1 to 0 is paid for by the credit on bit 0

25349

## 3<sup>rd</sup> Increment.

003874

bits	0 0 0 1 0	→	0 0 0 1 1
credits	0 0 0 1 0		0 0 0 1 1

- one unit of amortized cost is used to pay for the change in bit 0 from 0 to 1
- the other unit remains as a credit on bit 0 and is used later to pay for the time when bit 1 changes from 1 to 0

25349

## 4<sup>th</sup> Increment.

003874

bits	0 0 0 1 1	→	0 0 1 0 0
credits	0 0 0 1 1		0 0 1 0 0

- one unit of amortized cost is used to pay for the change in bit 2 from 0 to 1
- the other unit remains as a credit on bit 2 and is used later to pay for the time when bit 2 changes from 1 to 0
- the change in bits 0 and 1 from 1 to 0 is paid for by the credits on these bits

# Accounting Method

- $P(m) - P(0) = \Sigma(\text{amortizedCost}(i) - \text{actualCost}(i))$ 
  - = amount by which the first  $m$  increments have been over charged
  - = number of credits
  - = number of 1s
  - $\geq 0$

# Potential Method

- Guess a suitable potential function for which  $P(n) - P(0) \geq 0$  for all  $n$ .
- Derive amortized cost of  $i$ th operation using
$$\Delta P = P(i) - P(i-1)$$
$$= \text{amortized cost} - \text{actual cost}$$
- $\text{amortized cost} = \text{actual cost} + \Delta P$

# Potential Method

- Guess  $P(i)$  = number of 1s in counter after  $i$ th increment.
- $P(i) \geq 0$  and  $P(0) = 0$ .
- Let  $q$  = # of 1s at right end of counter just before  $i$ th increment ( $01001111 \Rightarrow q = 4$ ).
- Actual cost of  $i$ th increment is  $1+q$ .
- $\Delta P = P(i) - P(i-1) = 1 - q$  ( $01001111 \Rightarrow 0101000$ )
- amortized cost = actual cost +  $\Delta P$   
 $= 1+q + (1 - q) = 2$

# Amortized analyses: dynamic table

- **A nice use of amortized analysis**
- **Operation**
  - **Table-insertion**
  - **table-deletion.**
- **Scenario:**
  - **A table – maybe a hash table**
  - **Do not know how large in advance**
  - **May **expand** with insertion**
  - **May **contract** with deletion**
  - **Detailed implementation is not important**

# Amortized analyses: dynamic table

- **Goal:**
  - **$O(1)$  amortized cost.**
  - **Unused space always  $\leq$  constant fraction of allocated space.**



# Dynamic table

- ***Load factor***
  - $\alpha = num/size$
  - where  $num = \#$  items stored,  $size =$  allocated size.
- If  $size = 0$ , then  $num = 0$ . Call  $\alpha = 1$ .
- Never allow  $\alpha > 1$ .
- Keep  $\alpha >$  a constant fraction  $\rightarrow$  goal (2).

## Dynamic table: expansion with insertion

- Table expansion
- Consider only **insertion**.
- When the table becomes full, double its size and reinsert all existing items.
- Guarantees that  $\alpha \geq 1/2$ .
- Each time we actually insert an item into the table, it's an ***elementary insertion***.

TABLE-INSERT( $T, x$ )

```
1  if  $size[T] = 0$ 
2      then allocate  $table[T]$  with 1 slot
3           $size[T] \leftarrow 1$ 
4  if  $num[T] = size[T]$ 
5      then allocate  $new-table$  with  $2 \cdot size[T]$  slots
6          insert all items in  $table[T]$  into  $new-table$ 
7          free  $table[T]$ 
8           $table[T] \leftarrow new-table$ 
9           $size[T] \leftarrow 2 \cdot size[T]$ 
10 insert  $x$  into  $table[T]$ 
11  $num[T] \leftarrow num[T] + 1$ 
```

# Aggregate analysis

- ***Running time:***
  - Charge **1** per elementary insertion.
- **Count only elementary insertions,**
  - all other costs together are constant per call.
- **$c_i$  = actual cost of  $i$ th operation**
  - If not full,  **$c_i = 1$ .**
  - If full, have  **$i - 1$**  items in the table at the start of the  $i$ th operation. Have to copy all  **$i - 1$**  existing items, then insert  $i$ th item
    - $\Rightarrow$   **$c_i = i$**

# Aggregate analysis

- ***Cursory analysis:***
  - $n$  operations  $\Rightarrow$
  - $ci = O(n) \Rightarrow$
  - $O(n^2)$  time for  $n$  operations.
- **Of course, we don't always expand:**
  - $ci = i$
  - if  $i - 1$  is exact power of 2 ,  
1 otherwise .

# Aggregate analysis

- ***So total cost =***
  - $\sum_{i=1}^n c_i$
  - $\leq n +$   
 $\sum_{i=0}^{\log(n)} 2^i$
  - $\leq n + 2n = 3n$
- **Therefore, aggregate analysis says**
  - **amortized cost per operation = 3.**

# Accounting analysis

- Charge **\$3** per insertion of  $x$ .
  - \$1 pays for  $x$ 's insertion.
  - \$1 pays for  $x$  to be moved in the future.
  - \$1 pays for some other item to be moved.
- Suppose we've just expanded
  - $size = m$  before next expansion
  - $size = 2m$  after next expansion.
- Assume that the expansion used up all the credit, so that there's no credit stored after the expansion

# Accounting analysis

- Will expand again after another  $m$  insertions.
- Each insertion will
  - put \$1 on one of the  $m$  items that were in the table just after expansion
  - put \$1 on the item inserted.
- Have  $\$2m$  of credit by next expansion
- when there are  $2m$  items to move.
- Just enough to pay for the expansion, with no credit left over!



# Potential method

- $\Phi(T) = 2 \times \text{num}[T] - \text{size}[T]$
- Initially,
  - $\text{num} = \text{size} = 0$
  - $\Rightarrow \Phi = 0.$
- Just after expansion,
  - $\text{size} = 2 \cdot \text{num}$
  - $\Rightarrow \Phi = 0.$
- Just before expansion,
  - $\text{size} = \text{num}$
  - $\Rightarrow \Phi = \text{num}$
  - enough to pay for moving all items.

# Potential method

- **Need**
  - $\Phi \geq 0$ , always.
- **Always have**
  - $size \geq num \geq \frac{1}{2} size \Rightarrow$
  - $2 \cdot num \geq size \Rightarrow$
  - $\Phi \geq 0$  .

# Potential method

- **Amortized cost of  $i^{\text{th}}$  operation:**
  - $num_i = num$  after  $i^{\text{th}}$  operation ,
  - $size_i = size$  after  $i^{\text{th}}$  operation ,
  - $\Phi_i = \Phi$  after  $i^{\text{th}}$  operation .
- **If no expansion:**
  - $size_i =$
  - $size_{i-1}$  ,
  - $num_i =$
  - $num_{i-1} + 1$  ,
  - $c_i = 1$  .
- $C_i' = c_i + \Phi_i - \Phi_{i-1}$ 
  - $= 1 + (2num_i - size_i) - (2num_{i-1} - size_{i-1})$
  - $= 3$ .

# Potential method

- If expansion:
  - $size_i =$
  - $2size_{i-1}$  ,
  - $size_{i-1} =$
  - $num_{i-1} = num_i - 1$  ,
  - $c_i = num_{i-1} + 1 = num_i$ .
- $C_i' = c_i + \Phi_i - \Phi_{i-1}$ 
  - $= num_i + (2num_i - size_i) - (2num_{i-1} - size_{i-1})$
  - $= num_i + (2num_i - 2(num_i - 1)) - (2(num_i - 1) - (num_i - 1))$
  - $= num_i + 2 - (num_i - 1) = 3$

# Expansion and contraction

- When  $\alpha$  drops too low, contract the table.
  - Allocate a new, smaller one.
  - Copy all items.
- Still want
  - $\alpha$  bounded from below by a constant,
  - amortized cost per operation =  $O(1)$ .
- Measure cost in terms of elementary insertions and deletions.

## *Obvious strategy*

- **Double size when inserting into a full table (when  $\alpha = 1$ , so that after insertion  $\alpha$  would become  $<1$ ).**
- **Halve size when deletion would make table less than half full (when  $\alpha = 1/2$ , so that after deletion  $\alpha$  would become  $\geq 1/2$ ).**
- **Then always have  $1/2 \leq \alpha \leq 1$ .**
- **Something BAD happened...**

## *Obvious strategy*

- **Suppose we fill table.**
  - **insert  $\Rightarrow$** 
    - double
  - **2 deletes  $\Rightarrow$** 
    - halve
  - **2 inserts  $\Rightarrow$** 
    - double
  - **2 deletes  $\Rightarrow$** 
    - halve
  - . . .
  - **Cost of each expansion or contraction is  $\Theta(n)$ , so total n operation will be  $\Theta(n^2)$ .**

# *Obvious strategy*

- **Problem is that:**
  - **Not performing enough operations after expansion or contraction to pay for the next one.**
- **Want to make sure that we perform **enough** operations between consecutive expansions/contractions to pay for the change in table size.**



# Simple solution

- **Double as before: when inserting with  $\alpha = 1$** 
  - $\Rightarrow$  after doubling,  $\alpha = 1/2$ .
- **Halve size**
  - when deleting with  $\alpha = 1/4$
  - $\Rightarrow$  after halving,  $\alpha = 1/2$ .
- **Thus, immediately after either expansion or contraction**
  - $\alpha = 1/2$ .
- **Always have  $1/4 \leq \alpha \leq 1$ .**

# Simple solution

- Suppose we've just expanded/contracted
- Need to delete **half** the items before **contraction**.
- Need to **double** number of items before **expansion**.
- Either way, number of operations between expansions/contractions is at least a **constant fraction** of number of items copied.

# Potential function

- $\Phi(T) = \begin{cases} 2num[T] - size[T] & \text{if } \alpha \geq 1/2 \\ size[T]/2 - num[T] & \text{if } \alpha < 1/2 . \end{cases}$
- $T$  empty  $\Rightarrow \Phi = 0$ .
- $\alpha \geq 1/2 \Rightarrow$ 
  - $num \geq 1/2 size \Rightarrow$
  - $2num \geq size \Rightarrow$
  - $\Phi \geq 0$ .
- $\alpha < 1/2 \Rightarrow$ 
  - $num < 1/2 size \Rightarrow$
  - $\Phi \geq 0$ .

# intuition

- **measures how far from  $\alpha = 1/2$  we are.**
  - **$\alpha = 1/2 \Rightarrow$** 
    - **$\Phi = 2num - 2num = 0.$**
  - **$\alpha = 1 \Rightarrow$** 
    - **$\Phi = 2num - num$**
    - **$= num.$**
  - **$\alpha = 1/4 \Rightarrow$** 
    - **$\Phi = size/2 - num =$**
    - **$= 4num/2 - num = num.$**

# intuition

- Therefore, when we double or halve, have enough potential to pay for moving all *num* items.
- Potential increases linearly between  $\alpha = 1/2$  and  $\alpha = 1$ , and it also increases linearly between  $\alpha = 1/2$  and  $\alpha = 1/4$ .
- Since  $\alpha$  has different distances to go to get to 1 or 1/4, starting from 1/2, rate of increase differs.

# intuition

- $\Phi(T) = 2num[T] - size[T]$  if  $\alpha \geq 1/2$
- For  $\alpha$  to go from  $1/2$  to  $1$ ,
  - $num$  increases from  $size/2$  to  $size$ , for a total increase of  $size/2$ .
  - $\Phi$  increases from  $0$  to  $size$ .
  - $\Phi$  needs to increase by  $2$  for each item inserted.
- That's why there's a coefficient of  $2$  on the  $num[T]$  term in the formula for when  $\alpha \geq 1/2$ .

# intuition

- $\Phi(T) = \text{size}[T]/2 - \text{num}[T]$  if  $\alpha < 1/2$ .
- For  $\alpha$  to go from  $1/2$  to  $1/4$ 
  - $\text{num}$  decreases from  $\text{size}/2$  to  $\text{size}/4$ , for a total decrease of  $\text{size}/4$ .
  - $\Phi$  increases from 0 to  $\text{size}/4$ .
  - $\Phi$  needs to increase by 1 for each item deleted.
- That's why there's a coefficient of  $-1$  on the  $\text{num}[T]$  term in the formula for when  $\alpha < 1/2$ .

# Amortized cost for each operation

- **Amortized costs: more cases**
  - insert, delete
  - $\alpha \geq 1/2$ ,  $\alpha < 1/2$  (use  $\alpha_i$ , since  $\alpha$  can vary a lot)
  - *size* does/doesn't change
- **Exercise**